A Case Suggested as Eosinophilic Gastroenteritis with Ascites in a Young Patient
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Abstract

We report a case of 13-year-old boy suggested as eosinophilic gastroenteritis (EG). He was admitted about fifteen months ago with abdominal pain and diarrhea. Laboratory test revealed remarkable elevation of eosinophilic count. Computed tomography and ultrasonography revealed thickness of the intestine and ascites. Upper and lower endoscopy findings were almost normal, and biopsy specimens did not reveal infiltrate of eosinophils. After excluding the possibilities of an inflammatory disease, parasitic disease, autoimmune disease and hypereosinophilic syndrome, he was suggested as having EG of the predominant subserosal layer. Steroid therapy was markedly effective. EG is a rare disorder characterized by eosinophilic infiltration of the gastrointestinal tissues. The etiology of EG is still unknown, but much research has been done in recent years regarding the molecular progression. Steroid therapy is the mainstay of the management of the disorder. When a patient with abdominal complaints is consulted, it is very important to keep this disease in mind.
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Introduction

EG was first described by Kaijser in 1937. This disorder, which is rare, can present with eosinophilic infiltration of the gastrointestinal tissues and various symptoms. Klein et al. classified this disease into three types: mucosal, muscle, and subserosal layer. The predominant subserosal layer type is the rarest, found in only about 10% of the cases, and is typically manifested as ascites with an eosinophilic-rich content. We encountered a case with ascites and marked eosinophilia. Definite diagnosis by specimens was not done. After the possibilities of parasitic disease, etc. were eliminated, EG was exclusively suggested.

Case Report

A 13-year-old Japanese boy was referred and admitted about fifteen months ago with recurrent abdominal pain and watery diarrhea. He had suffered from the symptoms over the previous month. There had not been exposure to raw food. He had no history of allergic diathesis. Physical examination revealed that his abdomen was slightly distended. Laboratory tests revealed elevation of white blood cell and eosinophilic count at 9100 /μl and 2157 /μl (23.7%), respectively. Computed tomography revealed moderate ascites and marked thickening of intestinal wall (Fig. 1). Main findings of ultrasonography were similar to those of CT (Fig. 2). There were no involvements of the lung, kidney, or cardiovascular systems. The stools were negative for parasites. The IgE level was 111.7 U/
ml (normal range: 0–250) and the main allergen-specific IgE antibodies were normal. Indices of inflammation and of autoimmune diseases were normal. Other laboratory studies were within the normal limit (Table 1). Upper and lower endoscopy findings were macroscopically normal. Endoscopic biopsy specimens of antral mucosa and ceacal mucosa did not reveal infiltrate of eosinophils. The volume of ascites was not much. Taking into account the risk of puncturing the abdominal cavity, we did not cytologically examine the ascites. Parasitic disease was deniable because the stools were negative for parasites. With no evidence of extra-intestinal disease, the possibility of hypereosinophilic syndrome was eliminated. According to the criteria of Talley et al.\textsuperscript{3)}, the boy was suggested as having EG of the predominant subserosal layer. Oral 60mg/day prednisolone therapy was started and his symptoms were immediately relieved. The eosinophil count immediately decreased to normal range
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**Fig. 1.** Abdominal computed tomography revealed moderate quantities of ascites and wall thickness of intestine (arrow).
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**Fig. 2.** Abdominal sonography indicated wall thickness of the bowel (arrow), as in the findings of the CT.
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**Fig. 3.** Clinical course of case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WBC</th>
<th>9100 /μl</th>
<th>main specific IgE antibody tests</th>
<th>normal</th>
<th>Neutrophil</th>
<th>41.9 %</th>
<th>Urinalysis</th>
<th>sugar (—)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophil</td>
<td>23.7 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Basophil</td>
<td>1 %</td>
<td>protein</td>
<td>(—)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocyte</td>
<td>30.6 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Monocyte</td>
<td>23.7 %</td>
<td>blood</td>
<td>(—)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>550 /μl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb</td>
<td>16.1 g/dl</td>
<td>Stool</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>occult blood</td>
<td>(—)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLT</td>
<td>32.3 /μl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>parasites</td>
<td>(—)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-reactive protein</td>
<td>0.01 mg/dl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ova</td>
<td>(—)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST</td>
<td>13 IU/dl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT</td>
<td>9 IU/dl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total protein</td>
<td>6.6 g/dl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgE</td>
<td>111.7 IU/ml</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR</td>
<td>2 mm/2hr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Laboratory findings on admission
and ascites vanished on computed tomography. Steroid dosage was discontinued after three months with tapering doses. But one month after discontinuing the steroid, abdominal pain and peripheral eosinophilia appeared again. We re-started the oral 20mg/day prednisolone. At the time of this report, the patient had been asymptomatic for five months since the re-start of the steroid (Fig.3).

**Discussion**

EG was first described by Kaijser in 1937, and several hundred cases have been reported. It presents with very heterogeneous clinical manifestations. Any part of the gastrointestinal tract can be involved. It is mainly found in persons 20–50 years old, but can also be found in children. Both sexes are equally affected. Three criteria of Talley et al. are used in the diagnosis of EG: (i) the presence of gastrointestinal symptoms; (ii) biopsies showing eosinophilic infiltration of one or more areas of the gastrointestinal tract or typical radiological findings with a peripheral eosinophilia; and (iii) no evidence of extra-intestinal disease or parasitic disease.

Klein et al. proposed to classify eosinophilic gastroenteritis according to the layer of gastrointestinal tract involved. The clinical presentation depends on the gastrointestinal, division affected and the wall layers infiltrated. Mucosal type, the most common form of presentation, may result in iron deficiency anemia, nausea, vomiting and protein–losing enteropathy. Patients with mucosal involvement and their family members frequently have histories of atopic disease. The muscular layer type generally has obstructive symptoms. Subserosal eosinophilic infiltration may result in the development of ascites. This type is the rarest: 12.5% in the report of Talley et al. and 9% in the study by Nishimura et al. The presence of ascites in EG is also rare. In a review of 220 cases, Naylor reported ascites in 5% of the cases. Ascites in this disorder typically has an eosinophilic–rich content. If possible, ascites should be punctered and examined cytologically.

The etiology of this disease is still unknown. There have been previously reported associations with allergy, allergic diathesis, and acute pancreatitis. Naylor reported a history of food allergy in 52% of cases. However, information regarding eosinophil biology in both health and disease is developing rapidly. Much has been found in recent years regarding the molecular steps involved in eosinophil development and trafficking, with special attention to the important role of the transcription factor GATA–1, the eosinophil–selective cytokine IL-5 and the eotaxin subfamily of chemokines.

Patients with mild symptoms can be managed with expectant observation. With disabling symptoms, steroid therapy is the mainstay of medical treatment and is effective for many cases of GE; the dose can be tapered slowly after a few weeks of therapy. However, the therapy is associated with a high percentage of recurrence, as in our case, as well as side effects. There have been several reports of a steroid–sparring drug. Sodium cromoglycate is a stabilizer of the membrane of the mast cells that has been used in the treatment of food allergy and in malabsorption. Ketotifen is an H1–class antihistamine that stabilizes mast cells and possibly impairs eosinophil migration to target organs. There are some reports that have coexisting mucosal EG and H.pylori infection. It is suggested that H.pylori may play a pathogenic role in the development of blood eosinophilic and EG. Kawaguchi et al. reported EG cured with helicobacter pylori eradication. Garrett et al. suggested that anti–IL–5 (mepolizumab) might be safe and effective in lowering eosinophil levels, and have potential steroid–sparring effects in patients with hyper–eosinophilic syndromes. Dietary restriction of soy, milk, eggs, wheat and/or gluten, and beef and its benefits remains controversial. Surgical intervention may be required in patients with obstructive complications or refractory disease.

Long term follow–up is required as there are always chances of recurrence and the natural history of this disease remains unclear. Finally, we underscore that the management of EG is to be kept in mind. We can avoid unnecessary surgery with recognizing EG. With increasing awareness of EG, cases can be diagnosed without surgery using clinical suspicion.
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腹水を伴った若年者の好酸球性胃腸炎の1例
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今回，若年者の好酸球性胃腸炎の1例を報告する。症例は13歳の男性である。腹痛，下痢を主訴として15ヶ月前に入院となった。末梢血液検査にて著明な好酸球増多がみられた。腹部CTおよび腹部超音波検査にて広範囲の腸管壁の浮腫と，中等量の腹水を認めた。上部および下部消化管内視鏡はほぼ正常所見を呈し，生検では異常は無かった。感染性疾患，寄生虫感染，自己免疫疾患，hypereosinophilic syndromeは否定され，腸膜下型の好酸球性胃腸炎と診断された。ステロイドを使用し，症状は著明に改善した。好酸球性胃腸炎は比較的稀な疾患で，胃から大腸に至る消化管の全層に好酸球の浸潤を起こし得る。本症の原因は未だ不明であるが，最近になり分子生物学的な研究も行われつつある。治療はステロイドが中心となる。腹部の愁訴を伴う患者を診察する際は，この疾患の存在を念頭に置くことが重要である。